

# Standardization in Soundscape Research

Östen Axelsson

Department of Psychology, Stockholm University



# ISO/TC 43/SC 1/WG 54

- In 2008 the International Organization for Standardization (ISO)decided to put together a new expert working group on soundscape
- The working group was named "Perceptual assessment of soundscape quality"



### **Official of WG54**

**Convenor:** Östen Axelsson, Stockholm University **Secretary:** Daniel Pérez Kaiser, German Standards (DIN)



#### Soundscape

 An environment of sound (or sonic environment) with emphasis on the way it is perceived and understood by the individual, or by a society

Truax, B. (1999). Handbook for Acoustic Ecology (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Cambridge Street Publishing.



#### Soundscape – a scarce resource

#### Noise Control Engineering

- sound is a waste product to be disposed of
- aims to allow quality of life

#### Soundscape Research

- sound is a scarce recourse to be managed and designed
- promote quality of life, health, and wellbeing



### Soundscape management

- Noise limit values [e.g., 55 dB(A) ] are counterproductive
- Acoustic objectives:
  - In this area transportations sounds must not be hear and natural sounds should dominate.
  - In this area care takers must be able to hear the sounds of their children at play

# Directive 2002/49/EC



- In determining noise limit values EU countries must taking into account the need to preserve quiet areas in agglomerations, and aim to protect quiet areas against an increase in noise
- "quiet area in an agglomeration" shall mean an area, delimited by the competent authority, for instance which is not exposed to a value of L<sub>den</sub> or of another appropriate noise indicator greater than a certain value set by the Member State, from any noise source
- "quiet area in open country" shall mean an area, delimited by the competent authority, that is undisturbed by noise from traffic, industry or recreational activities



# Soundscape support to health

- The initiative to WG54 resulted from the Swedish research program Soundscape support to health, 1999 – 2007
- In this research program we aimed to understand how people perceive soundscapes, and to develop new research tools
- The idea of an international standard for measuring perceived soundscape quality evolved gradually

# Why standards?



- 1. Methods are needed for measuring soundscape quality
- 2. Existing acoustic metrics are not valid as indicators of soundscape quality
- 3. Knowledge and experience in evaluation of soundscapes is well developed
- 4. Standardized methods are needed for:
  - a) compilation of knowledge (metaanalysis),
  - b) harmonization of guidelines based on perception,
  - c) evaluation and preservation of high soundscape quality



# **Standards in perspective**

- Compare with marketing research
- There are no/few standards
- Each marketing research company has its own methods
- Comparison of results are impossible between suppliers
- Customers are "locked-in" and it is hard to move to a new supplier
- Marketing research companies are uninterested in new research development



#### WG54 an international cooperation

- Australia
- Belgium
- France
- Germany
- Italy
- Japan

- Norway
- South Korea
- Sweden
- United Kingdoms
- United States of America



# **Interdisciplinary approach**

- Acoustics
- Architecture
- Epidemiology
- Environmental planning

- Psychology
- Sociology
- National Park Management



# Scope of WG54

- Provide minimum specifications for soundscape studies
- Standard(s) will primarily be intended for researchers and public users evaluating soundscape quality
- Standard(s) will include definitions, methods, measurements and reporting requirements



# Soundscape methods for standardization

- Methods to assess perception/experience
- Taxonomy and identification of specific acoustic events
- Physical measurement: recording and analysis
- Modeling, mapping and simulation methods
- Soundscape design methods



# **Examples of applications**

- Visitor questionnaire studies in outdoor areas intended for recreation (e.g., city parks, or open green spaces)
- Resident questionnaire studies in residential areas, including areas with designated places of high acoustic quality



# May soundscape methods be standardized?

- I propose a module approach
- There are certain aspects of a soundscape that a researcher like to evaluate
  - Sound source identification
  - Perceived overall soundscape quality
  - Preferences
  - Congruence with user objectives



# **Sound source identification**

To what extent do you presently hear the following 5 kinds of sounds? Please mark one response alternative per kind of sound								
	Cannot hear at all	Hear vaguely	Hear clearly	Hear a lot	Dominates completely			
1. Traffic noise (e.g., car, buss, train, plain)								
2. Fan noise (e.g., ventilation)								
3. Other noise (e.g., construction noise, industry, machines, sirens, music, etc.)								
<ol> <li>Sounds from humans (e.g., talk, laughter, children at play, footsteps)</li> </ol>								
5. Natural sounds (e.g., wind whispering in the trees, purling water, singing birds)								



#### **Overall soundscape-quality** measurement

Over-all, how would you describe the present surrounding sound environment?						
Very good	Good	Neither good, nor bad	Bad	Very bad		



NOTHING IS WRITTEN IN STONE

### Standards are not written in stone

 In ISO international standards are revised every 5 years in order to be up to date with the latest development





#### **Future perspectives**

- WG54 aims to propose the first international standard on soundscape in the beginning of 2011
- The standard is expected to be finalised in 2015
- In parallel to this process WG54 will propose additional standards





#### oan@psychology.su.se